

[BACK](#)

[This link](#)

TIME DECAY OF MATERNAL ANTIBODIES IN INFANTS DEMONSTRATE HIV ANTIBODIES ARE NON-SPECIFIC

Perth Group letter submitted to and rejected by NEJM August 2005

A video based on this letter is at <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nS-ytZfNWxw>

Published data on the decay of maternal antibodies raise questions in regard to the specificity of the HIV antibody tests. In 1973 the evidence was that transplacental antibody did not persist beyond nine months,¹ a view also asserted by a foremost paediatric text, "Maternal IgG gradually disappears during the first 6-8 months of life".² In 1987, without citing evidence of a serological procedure that distinguishes maternal from infant antibody, the CDC stated "Most of the consultants believed that passively transferred maternal HIV antibody could sometimes persist for up to 15 months". In 1991, again without evidence, the CDC extended the time to 18 months and by 1995 "...the range of WB [Western blot] seroconversions might eventually extend beyond 30 months".³

In 1993, researchers from the CDC HIV Serology Laboratory developed "a human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1)-specific 1gG-Fc capture enzyme immunoassay (1gG-CEIA) to decide the dynamics of HIV-1 maternal antibody decay and de novo synthesis of HIV-1 antibodies in infants". They reported "a rapid decay" of maternal HIV antibody "with decline to background levels by 6 months (T1/2 [half-life] = 28-30 days)",⁴ a result equal to that reported two decades earlier for the "immune globulins".⁵ In other words, any child seropositive beyond 9 months must be generating his own antibodies and thus should remain HIV positive for the remainder of his life.

In the Ariel study of mother to child transmission, in which no child was breastfed, 42% of children seroreverted between 12 and 18 months of age.⁶ In the European Collaborative Study⁷ data on 268 children provide the only published detailed analysis of *post partum* loss of HIV antibody. In this cohort "5% were breastfed for periods from 1 to 30 weeks (median 2.5)". Approximately 23% of infants seroreverted between birth and 9 months and 59% became seronegative between 9 and 22 months. Since seroreversions beyond 9 months cannot be due to loss of maternal antibody, the only explanation is either: (i) the antibody test is non-specific or; (ii) the children managed to clear HIV infection without treatment, which, according to HIV experts, cannot be the case. If 23% of children serorevert because of loss of maternal antibody and in 59% because the

antibodies are non-specific, how is it possible to know that the remaining 18% of children will not also serorevert after 22 months? And if they do not serorevert, the test is specific and proof of HIV infection?

REFERENCES

1. Stiehm ER. Immunologic diseases in infants and children. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Company, 1973:1084.
2. Buckley NH. Chapter 123 T-, B-, and NK-Cell Systems. In: Behrman R, Kliegman R, Jenson H, eds. Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Company, 2000:2412.
3. Chantray CJ, Cooper ER, Pelton SI, Zorilla C, Hillyer GV, Diaz C. Seroreversion in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed but uninfected infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1995; 14:382-7.
4. Parekh BS, Shaffer N, Coughlin R, et al. Dynamics of maternal IgG antibody decay and HIV-specific antibody synthesis in infants born to seropositive mothers. The NYC Perinatal HIV Transmission Study Group. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 1993; 9:907-12.
5. Gitlin D. Development and metabolism of the immune globulins. In: Kagan BM, Stiehm ER, eds. Immunologic incompetence. Chicago: Year Book Publishers, 1971:3-13.
6. Van Dyke RB, Korber BT, Popek E, et al. The Ariel Project: A prospective cohort study of maternal-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in the era of maternal antiretroviral therapy. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1999; 179:319-28.
7. Mother-to-child transmission of HIV infection. The European Collaborative Study. *Lancet* 1988; ii:1039-43.

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed my small contribution. I trust you will appreciate my question does not concern how maternal HIV antibody confounds the serological diagnosis of mother to child transmission. Rather it addresses why the time rate of decay and time of disappearance of maternal antibody is critical to the notion of specificity of HIV antibodies. It appears to me at least that unless one has a method that can distinguish between antibodies of maternal and infant origin (as Parekh developed), it is impossible to categorically state what proportion of each antibody, if any, is responsible for a positive test. It is also unlikely that the infant's catabolic pathways are able to distinguish HIV antibody from all other transplacental antibodies, which disappear by nine months of age. Nor can the claimed high sensitivity of the tests provide an explanation since sensitivity is not specificity. (In fact, despite repeated research of the literature and communication with test manufacturers, I have not been able to determine the dilutional sensitivity of the HIV ELISA or Western blot antibody tests). Perhaps one of your experts, or Dr. Parekh, may be able to provide an explanation for these data. Kind regards, Valendar F Turner

PS I apologise but I cannot present sufficient evidence with 5 references. I include two more references (6 and 7) here. I trust this will be not be an inconvenience.